

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,720	01/17/2002	Michael Robert Dehart	DEH01-02	4224
7590 01/29/2004 ANASTASSIOS TRIANTAPHYLLIS			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C	
P.O. Box 2762 Houston, TX	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3653	
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,720	DEHART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C Rodriguez	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp indence address Peri d for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 and 21-24 is/are pending in the	Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 21-23</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-15 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
	☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/17/2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) D Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,720

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. However, the provisional application upon which priority is claimed was filed 1/16/2001 and the instant application has a filing date of 1/17/2002, therefore as the provisional application was filed more than one year prior to the instant application priority cannot be granted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 14-15 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlson (US '733).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 24, Carlson teaches an apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising a hopper (near 4) with water means (50), a separator with an inlet (end of 8c) and a passageway (area in and around 10), a first outlet (near 50 or near 42), a second outlet (near 32), a rotatable screen (near 10) that screens the material passing through the passageway and a pump (9) that transfers material from the hopper to the separator, wherein the material is regarded as axially flowing (i.e., passing along center axis of screen) and the rotating screen is regarded as centrifugally directing material

Art Unit: 3653

towards the first outlet through the screens substantially horizontal screening surface (i.e., screen apertures of a predetermined size) (Fig. 1, 3). Hereinafter, Applicant is respectfully reminded that claim language consisting of functional language and/or intended use phrasing is given little, if any, patentable weight as the apparatus must merely be capable of functioning, or being used, as claimed. See MPEP 2112.02, 2114.

Regarding claim 4, Carlson also teaches drive means (near 18, 20).

Regarding claims 14 and 15, Carlson also teaches also teaches a water pump (26) for pumping water to the separator and a baffle (teeth near 6) with a predetermined aperture size (i.e., tooth spacing).

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-11, 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Downerd (US '805).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 24, Downerd teaches an apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising a hopper (11) with water means (27), a rotatable screen (10, 14, 19) with a front inlet (near 12) and a passageway (area in and around screens), a first outlet (under the screen and near 13), a second outlet (near 28) and a water pump (near 26), wherein the rotating screen is regarded as centrifugally directing material towards the first outlet and the screen apertures are regarded as including apertures of a predetermined size. That is, the horizontally placed screens are regarded as providing a substantially horizontal screening surface (Fig. 1). Further, a separator is regarded as

Application/Control Number: 10/053,720

Art Unit: 3653

inherently surrounding the rotatable screens, wherein the material must pass through said screens.

Regarding claim 4, Downerd also teaches drive means (near 40).

Regarding claims 8-11, Downerd also teaches a sand tank (21), a first water holding tank (25) with an outlet (near bottom), a separator outlet line (20, 24), a second water holding tank (16) and a first water holding tank outlet line (27) that indirectly connect the two holding tanks.

Regarding claim 14, Downerd also teaches a water pump (17) for pumping water to the separator.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Singer (US '980).

Regarding claims 1-3, 5, 7, 24, Singer teaches an apparatus (Fig. 1) comprising a hopper (20) with water means (22, 29 near pump), a separator (12) with an inlet (near 25) and a passageway (area in and around 14), a first outlet (near 26, 27), a second outlet (near 13), a rotatable screen (near 14) that screens the material passing through the passageway and a pump (24) that transfers material from the hopper to the separator, wherein the rotating screen is regarded as centrifugally directing material towards the first outlet through the screens substantially horizontal screening surface (i.e., screen apertures of a predetermined size) (Fig. 1, 3). Hereinafter, a pump is regarded as any device that moves or transfers fluid.

Regarding claim 4, Singer also teaches drive means (near 15, 17, 18).

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-11, 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Downerd in view of Singer and/or Carlson.

Downerd as set forth above teaches all that is claimed. However, under an alternative interpretation a separator surrounding the rotatable screen(s) may not be considered inherent. This feature, however, is well-known in the sorting arts. For instance, Singer (Fig. 1) and Carlson (Fig. 1) both teach that it is well known to surround the rotating screen with a separator chamber for the common-sense purpose of controlling the material flow and preventing leakage. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Downerd as taught above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 13 and 21-23 are allowed.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,720 Page 6

Art Unit: 3653

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-308-8342. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during normal business hours (9 am - 6 pm, EST).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326 (After-Final 703-972-9327).

The **UnOfficial** fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-306-2571 or 703-308-6552.

The examiner's **UNOFFICIAL Personal fax number** is **703-746-3678**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

January 26, 2004